

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/604,595 06/27/2000		06/27/2000	Paul A. Underbrink	ST97001CI2 (209-US-CIP2)	5340
34408	34408 7590 12/06/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER
THE ECLIPSE GROUP 10605 BALBOA BLVD., SUITE 300 GRANADA HILLS, CA 91344				ODOM, CURTIS B	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2611	
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)  UNDERBRINK ET AL.		
İ	09/604,595			
ĺ	Examiner	Art Unit	_	
	Curtis B. Odom	2611		

		2011						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).	•						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment canceling the						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 21,22,25,26,33 and 34.		ill be entered and an explanation of						
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 16, 18, and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a N	latics of Appeal will not be entared						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Solla .						
•		IAVIA DATE:						
	SUPERVI	JAY K. PATEL SORY PATENT EXAMINED						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 09/604,595

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 6, and 16 have been amended to recite limitations such as "an odd-even switch that selects a PN code chip stage from one of the plurality of PN code chips" (see claim 1). The new amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration.